



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/761,433

01/16/2001

James Steven Hayko

33262

5201

116 7590 05/31/2007
PEARNE & GORDON LLP
1801 EAST 9TH STREET
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

EXAMINER

KANG, PAUL H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/761,433	HAYKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul H. Kang	2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul H. Kang, USPTO. (3) _____

(2) John Harris, Reg. No. 39,465. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Hamilton (US 6,009,464) and Balasubramaniam (US 6,701,441).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. John Harris was not identified in the case file as an attorney of record. Therefore, the interview was limited to Mr. Harris' files. MPEP 405. The discussion was limited to attorney files regarding the "communication pipe". As a courtesy to the applicant, the examiner agreed to conduct a second interview once Mr. Harris has provides a new power of attorney designating him as an attorney of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 PAUL KANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.